

To: Jill Thompson, Ryedale District Council

From: Dr Frank Ellis

Date: 22nd December 2017

Re: Preliminary Objections to the Proposed Development of Site 160 Ampleforth

Other than to point out that the access to site 160 from Station Road and egress from the site to Station Road is wholly unsuitable, and recognized as such by the competent statutory consultee, I shall at this stage be making no further objections.

Be advised that I am an appellant in an appeal currently before the Upper Tier Tribunal (Administrative Appeals Chamber. Appeal №: GIA/1028/2017). The two respondents are Ryedale District Council and the Information Commissioner. Before lodging substantial objections to the development of Site 160 I intend to await the outcome of the appeal since the matters raised in the appeal are directly relevant to any future development in Ampleforth.

Another factor is that despite my having made requests for information from a public body, and having informed them well in advance of your deadline for responses (1600 hrs, 22nd December 2017), and further that I required time to consider any response, I received the answer (of sorts) late today. This is too late.

Once I have all the information to hand, I shall be making detailed objections. I trust this will not be a problem.

Yours sincerely
Frank Ellis

From: NORMAN ELLIS [
Sent: 22 December 2017 15:05
To: Jill Thompson <
Subject: Preliminary Objections to the Proposed Development of Site 160 Ampleforth

Please see attached.

Frank Ellis

-----Original message-----

From :
Date : 28/11/2017 - 16:36 (GMTST)
To :
Subject : RE: Access to Site 160

Dear Mr Ellis

Good Afternoon. Apologies for not getting back to you sooner. I have been out of the office since last Thursday.

In response to your points:

- (1) I am not aware of any other discrepancies in the supporting information that have been identified in relation to site 160. If any are raised as part of the consultation, I will let you know.
- (2) I have attached the site specific information/ correspondence from NYCC Highways. This followed a request from RDC that they provide us with comments on the sites that had been put forward for development by landowners and developers across Ryedale. Due to the number of sites put forward, the information on their pro-formas was fed back to us over a period of time. NYCC also summarised information for some sites in an excel sheet that I have also attached. Another letter from NYCC was received following a consultation on preferred sites in 2015. There is no further correspondence which is specific to Site 160
- (3) I have attached the letter from Highways England which summarised their position at the 2015 consultation. This was in response to a general letter from RDC advising them of the consultation. There has been no specific correspondence with Highways England on Site 160.

Yours Sincerely

Jill Thompson
Principal Specialist (Place)
Ryedale District Council

From: NORMAN ELLIS [
Sent: 27 November 2017 09:01
To: Jill Thompson <
Subject: Access to Site 160

jill.thompson@ryedale.gov.uk

Re: Access to Station Road from and to Site 160

Dear Jill Thompson

I refer to my email to you dated 22nd November 2017. I require the following as soon as possible, certainly well before the deadline of 22nd December 2017

- (i). Clarification of what you mean when you say that there 'other discrepancies in the suite of supporting documents' that 'have been raised'? Do any of these 'other discrepancies' pertain to Site 160? If yes, please let me know what they are;
- (ii). Copies of all correspondence between you (RDC) and NYCC Highways Department on the matter of access to Site 160 from Station Road;
- (iii). Copies of all correspondence between you (RDC) and Highways England on the matter of access from Station Road to Site 160 as well.

Yours sincerely

Frank Ellis

27th November 2017