

Charlotte Bogg

From: Pat Sutor |
Sent: 11 December 2015 12:51
To: Rachael Balmer
Cc: Jennie Green; Charlotte Bogg; Emma Woodland; Peter Rayment
Subject: Sites Consultation 2015: Site 539 (also Site 7), Allerston Mill

Dear Mrs Balmer,

I write in response to this consultation.

I understand why the Council's search for sites to meet its housing needs is concentrating on larger sites and is restricted to Market Towns and Service Villages.

However I regret that the opportunity is not being taken to amend existing Development Limits in the 2002 Ryedale Local Plan if needed. It is important that required amendments are made as they will continue to be used in the smaller 'other' villages. I therefore object on this point, on behalf of Mr Martin Green.

As you know from previous correspondence I consider that there is an ambiguity between Inset Map 7 and 2.2 of the supporting text and this should be clarified. See for instance my summary email of 11 March 2013.

The other argument I have made is that the existing mid-20C agricultural buildings on site are an eyesore. They continue to deteriorate. Removal of them would be a benefit to the Conservation Area, as would be their replacement with appropriate development. Your Conservation Officer Emma Woodland agreed that their removal would be acceptable when commenting on planning application 05/00937/FUL (memo of 6 Oct 2005).

I hope that these comments can be taken into account,

Yours faithfully,

Pat Sutor.
Planning Consultant.