

## Rachael Balmer

---

**From:** Lisa Bolland  
**Sent:** 11 March 2015 11:00  
**To:** Rachael Balmer  
**Subject:** Ryedale Plan - site selection  
**Attachments:** 2015-02-16Minutes.pdf

Morning Rachael

I apologise for the delay in providing you with the minutes from the meeting on February 16th, attached. As you will see the site selection was discussed and the following was determined:

The Ryedale Plan - The sites selected and put forward to Ryedale for potential development in Kirkbymoorside were discussed.

A member of the public raised concern that site 10, adjoining the sportsfield was designated for residential development and outlined the detrimental impact this would have on the sportsfield. It was determined that the council unanimously object to any residential development of this site (10) and this view is supported by all member of the public that were present.

It has been determined by RDC that Site 622 fails the first test of the SSM due to flood risk. However, the Town Council believe that any flooding concerns could be addressed by adequate drainage and elevation measures and would support industrial/employment development on this site.

The opinion of the Kirkbymoorside Surgery was noted in that the demand for caring support is not matched by the availability of housing for nursing staff. The development of site 102 would satisfy the need for affordable housing.

The Town Council would encourage the development of sites that do not jeopardise the character of the town and are in scale.

The following observations were made:

Residential development on sites to the south of the A170 would encourage people to drive into the town due to the poor crossing points, subsequently increasing vehicular congestion and exacerbating the parking issues.

The northern most sites, namely 201, 345 and 431, being located at a higher elevation than the town centre would similarly see an increase in vehicular movement with the occupants being less inclined to opt for pedestrian access uphill on return from the town centre.

The Town Council would strongly discourage any residential development on industrial sites as this would result in effectively restricting jobs and employment opportunities by occupying sites that should be designated for industry. In view of the population explosion upon completion of the Gladman Site (102) we would like to see additional land allocated for industrial/employment in order to encourage the expansion of local businesses.

It would be greatly beneficial if RDC would encourage land owners to supply their land for the purpose of industrial development or sports/leisure rather than residential development.

Preferred sites for development:

- 156 Swineherd Lane 30 house
- Some developments around Keldholme e.g. sites 56 and 467

When considering any site for development within Kirkbymoorside the following features should be prioritised:

- Public Rights Of Way should be enhanced
- Green Space
- Access to public/open space

- Architectural merit

Kirkbymoorside is a Transition Town and as such environmentally sustainable buildings should be encouraged.

It was suggested that the Economic Officers of NYCC be asked to clarify why employment/industrial development in Kirkbymoorside has been restricted and the focus for this development has been prioritised in Helmsley.

The Town Council would like to meet with the Economic Officers to discuss how we can be more involved in the consultation for economic development in Kirkbymoorside.

Regards  
Lisa