

**GRAHAM GOFORTH**

Date : 2 JAN 2016

Dear Sirs,

**AMOTHERBY LOCAL PLAN : PROPOSED  
RESIDENTIAL SITES**

I am totally against 'Ribbon' development.

I would class all sites (148, 181, 635 and 636) as being of this type.

The remaining site (8) is the only true 'infill' site.

Sites 148, 181, 635 and 636 would extend the village outwards along the access roads and particularly site 635 would remove the open space between houses. It is this type of open space that differentiates a village from a mere 'built up area'.

It would make more sense to develop the 'stack yard' of Manor Farm to the West of this site and personally while this 'brown' site remains undeveloped the submission of site 635 is an unacceptable invasion of green space – 'a field too far'.

Site 8 is the least intrusive from the 'casual' observer but would probably be unpopular with residents on the east side of Main street, but that is the consequence of 'Infill' and this intrusion could be alleviated by careful planning.

Yours faithfully,